



THE WITHIN INSTRUMENT IS A CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL ON FILE IN THIS OFFICE.

TEST: APR 13 2011

FILED
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SONOMA

1 OMAR FIGUEROA #190650
2 7770 Healdsburg Ave., Suite A
3 Sebastopol, CA 95472
4 Telephone: 707/829-0215
5 Facsimile: 707/861-9187

Clerk of the Superior Court of California
County of Sonoma
BY J. Hudson Deputy Clerk

RECEIVED APR 13 2011
2011 APR 13 P 12:05 BY J. Hudson
Deputy Clerk

SUNOMA CO. D.A.

Attorney for Defendant
[REDACTED]

7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

9 COUNTY OF SONOMA

10 PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Case No. SCR [REDACTED]

11 Plaintiff,

**ORDER GRANTING TRANSACTIONAL
IMMUNITY AND REQUIRING WITNESS
TO ANSWER QUESTIONS UNDER
PENAL CODE § 1324.**

12 v.

13 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

14 Defendant.
15

16 _____
17 Petition having been filed by the People of the State of California, requesting that [REDACTED]


18 [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]

19 [REDACTED], necessary witnesses, be required to answer questions and produce evidence in the Superior
20 Court of the State of California on the above noted case number; said witnesses, having failed to
21 show cause why questions should not be answered or evidence produced; the Court believing that all
22 of the allegations of the petition are true; and, the court finding that there is no good cause why this
23 Order should not be made; this Order is not contrary to the public interest; and further, said persons,
24 necessary witnesses, could not be subjected to a criminal prosecution in another jurisdiction through
25 compliance with this Order:
26
27
28

1 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that [REDACTED]
2 [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] shall answer such questions and produce
3 such evidence by testifying fully, fairly, and in good faith as to their knowledge of the facts from
4 which the charges arose in the case of the *People of the State of California v. [REDACTED]* in the
5 Superior Court of the County of Sonoma, State of California, case number SCR [REDACTED], as may be
6 material to the case and which otherwise, but for the provisions of Section 1324 of the California
7 Penal Code, the witnesses would be privileged to withhold on the ground that answering such
8 questions may incriminate them. This Order is made in accordance with the transactional use
9 provisions of Section 1324 of the California Penal Code, granting transactional immunity for such
10 testimony upon the prosecutor's request.
11

12
13 After complying with the above order, said witnesses shall not be prosecuted or subjected
14 to penalty or forfeiture for or on account of any fact or act concerning which, in accordance with the
15 order, they were required to answer or produce evidence. The witnesses may, however, be prosecuted
16 or subjected to penalty or forfeiture for perjury, false swearing or contempt committed in answering,
17 or failing to answer, or in producing, or failing to produce, evidence in accordance with this Order.
18

19 Dated: 4/13/11
20


JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

21 APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:


22 Dated: 4/13/11
23


[REDACTED]
Attorney for the People of California

24 Dated: 4/13/11
25

[REDACTED]
OMAR FIGUEROA
Attorney for Defendant, [REDACTED]

26 Dated: 4/13/2011
27


[REDACTED]
Attorney for Witness, [REDACTED]